



Open Retailing Design Rules for JSON

February 3, 2020

Version 1.1.2

Document Summary

This document describes the Open Retailing (fuel retailing and convenience store) style guidelines for the use of JSON based APIs, including property and object naming conventions. These guidelines are based on best practice gleaned from IFSF, Conexxus, OMG (IXRetail), W3C, Amazon, Open API Standard and other industry bodies.

Contributors

Axel Mammes, OrionTech
Gonzalo Gomez, OrionTech
Linda Toth, Conexus
David Ezell, Conexus
John Carrier, IFSF

This document was reviewed and approved by the Joint IFSF and Conexus Application Programming Interface Work Group and the Technical Advisory Committee within Conexus.

Revision History

Revision Date	Revision Number	Revision Editor(s)	Revision Changes
February 3, 2020	V1.1.2	Linda Toth, Conexus	Changed fuel retailing to open retailing.
July 15, 2019	V1.1.1	Linda Toth, Conexus	Correct pagination issues, fixed “forward compatibility” header, updated table of contents.
May 2019	V1.1	John Carrier, IFSF	All tracking removed, final draft removed, Contents page and Revision History updated.
May 2019	V1.1 Final Draft 0.5	David Ezell, Conexus Linda Toth, Conexus	Small clarification on section 4 (formerly section 6) about APIs, and reformatting to make it a true joint document.
April 2019	V1.1 Final Draft 0.4	John Carrier, IFSF	Document updated to final draft following discussion at Conexus Conference.
April 2019	V1.1 Draft 0.4	David Ezell, Conexus	Changed document per Joint WG discussions.
April 2019	V1.1 Draft v0.3	David Ezell, Conexus	Included comments and improvements from API WG review of 2 April 2019.
March 2019	V1.1 Draft v0.2	John Carrier, IFSF	Layout changed to Joint IFSF/Conexus format and content. References corrected and made consistent.

February 2019	V1.1 Draft v0.2	David Ezell, Conexus	General quality and content Improvements
July 2018	V1.0.1	John Carrier, IFSF	Updates for additional Industry Best Practise and guideline “ <i>rationale</i> ” added No rules changes Clarification and corrections only
February 2017	V1.0	John Carrier, IFSF	First Release (document name and version identification changes only)
Nov 2016	Draft V0.6	Gonzalo Gomez, OrionTech Carlos Salvatore, OrionTech	Segregation of pure JSON design rules as agreed with Conexus
April 2016	Draft V0.5	Gonzalo Gomez, OrionTech John Carrier, IFSF	Initial Draft for DI WG Review

Copyright Statement

The content (content being images, text or any other medium contained within this document which is eligible of copyright protection) are jointly copyrighted by Conexus and IFSF. All rights are expressly reserved.

IF YOU ACQUIRE THIS DOCUMENT FROM IFSF. THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT ON THE USE OF COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL APPLIES:

You may print or download to a local hard disk extracts for your own business use. Any other redistribution or reproduction of part or all of the contents in any form is prohibited.

You may not, except with our express written permission, distribute to any third party. Where permission to distribute is granted by IFSF, the material must be acknowledged as IFSF copyright and the document title specified. Where third party material has been identified, permission from the respective copyright holder must be sought.

You agree to abide by all copyright notices and restrictions attached to the content and not to remove or alter any such notice or restriction.

Subject to the following paragraph, you may design, develop and offer for sale products which embody the functionality described in this document.

No part of the content of this document may be claimed as the Intellectual property of any organisation other than IFSF Ltd, and you specifically agree not to claim patent rights or other IPR protection that relates to:

- a) the content of this document; or
- b) any design or part thereof that embodies the content of this document whether in whole or part.

For further copies and amendments to this document please contact: IFSF Technical Services via the IFSF Web Site (www.ifsf.org).

IF YOU ACQUIRE THIS DOCUMENT FROM CONEXXUS, THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT ON THE USE OF COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL APPLIES:

Conexus members may use this document for purposes consistent with the adoption of the Conexus Standard (and/or the related documentation); however, Conexus must pre-approve any inconsistent uses in writing.

Conexus recognizes that a Member may wish to create a derivative work that comments on, or otherwise explains or assists in implementation, including citing or referring to the standard, specification, protocol, schema, or guideline, in whole or in part. The Member may do so, but may share such derivative work ONLY with

another Conexus Member who possesses appropriate document rights (i.e., Gold or Silver Members) or with a direct contractor who is responsible for implementing the standard for the Member. In so doing, a Conexus Member should require its development partners to download Conexus documents and schemas directly from the Conexus website. A Conexus Member may not furnish this document in any form, along with any derivative works, to non-members of Conexus or to Conexus Members who do not possess document rights (i.e., Bronze Members) or who are not direct contractors of the Member. A Member may demonstrate its Conexus membership at a level that includes document rights by presenting an unexpired digitally signed Conexus membership certificate.

This document may not be modified in any way, including removal of the copyright notice or references to Conexus. However, a Member has the right to make draft changes to schema for trial use before submission to Conexus for consideration to be included in the existing standard. Translations of this document into languages other than English shall continue to reflect the Conexus copyright notice.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by Conexus, Inc. or its successors or assigns, except in the circumstance where an entity, who is no longer a member in good standing but who rightfully obtained Conexus Standards as a former member, is acquired by a non-member entity. In such circumstances, Conexus may revoke the grant of limited permissions or require the acquiring entity to establish rightful access to Conexus Standards through membership.

Disclaimers

IF YOU ACQUIRE THIS DOCUMENT FROM CONEXXUS, THE FOLLOWING DISCALIMER STATEMENT APPLIES:

Conexus makes no warranty, express or implied, about, nor does it assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, product, or process described in these materials. Although Conexus uses reasonable best efforts to ensure this work product is free of any third party intellectual property rights (IPR) encumbrances, it cannot guarantee that such IPR does not exist now or in the future. Conexus further notifies all users of this standard that their individual method of implementation may result in infringement of the IPR of others. Accordingly, all users are encouraged to carefully review their implementation of this standard and obtain appropriate licenses where needed.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	8
1.1	Audience	8
1.2	Background.....	8
1.3	What is REST?	8
1.4	Usage of JSON	9
2	Design Objectives	9
2.1	Overall JSON Design	9
2.2	Commercial Messages	9
3	Versioning.....	10
3.1	Backward Compatibility	10
3.2	Forward Compatibility	10
3.3	Version Numbering	10
3.3.1	Examples of Changes that can be incorporated in a Revision.....	11
3.3.2	Examples of Changes that can be incorporated in a Minor Version	12
3.3.3	Examples of Changes that Dictate a Major Version (new Release).....	12
3.3.4	Reflecting the Version Numbers for Data Types	12
4	The Common Library	13
4.1	Designing the Common Library.....	13
4.2	Guidelines for Structuring Libraries	14
4.3	Versioning of the Common Library.....	14
4.4	Code List Management.....	14
4.5	Hierarchy of Data Type Common Library Documents.....	15
4.6	File Naming Convention.....	15
5	Data Type Implementation Rules	15
5.1	Documentation	15
5.1.1	Annotation Requirements	15
5.1.2	Naming Conventions	16
5.2	Document Encoding	16
5.3	Property Names	16
5.3.1	Property and Type Names Use Lower Camel Case	16
5.3.2	Enumeration Rules.....	17

5.3.3	Acronyms	17
5.4	Reusing data types	17
5.5	Referencing Data Types from Other Data Type Documents	17
5.6	Property order	18
5.7	Data Types	18
5.7.1	Use of “Nulls”	18
5.7.2	Boolean values	19
5.7.3	Numeric values	20
5.7.4	String values	21
5.7.5	Arrays	22
5.7.6	Date time values	22
5.7.7	Hard and Soft Enumerations	23
5.7.7.1	Updating Hard Enumerations.....	25
5.7.7.2	Updating Soft Enumerations.....	26
6	Rules Summary.....	27
A.	References.....	28
B.	Glossary	29

1 Introduction

This document is a guideline for developing Open Retailing JSON Messages. This guideline helps to ensure that all data types and the resulting JSON conform to a standard layout and presentation. This guideline applies to all data types developed by IFSF, Conexus, their work groups and other partners who agree to adopt these Open Retailing API standards. This document is based upon the Conexus "Design Rules for XML" document to capitalize their knowledge and practical experience on writing style guidelines and to reflect the differences between how XML and JSON messages are used by the standards bodies.

Note: The original document (v1.0) was an IFSF standard which is now jointly owned and maintained by IFSF and Conexus. The word IFSF has therefore been substituted with "Open Retailing" which covers both Service (Gas) Stations and Convenience Stores.

1.1 Audience

The intended audiences of this document include, non-exhaustively:

- Architects and developers designing, developing, or documenting RESTful Web Services; and
- Standards architects and analysts developing specifications that make use of Open Retailing REST based APIs.

1.2 Background

Representational State Transfer (better known as REST) is a programming philosophy that was introduced by Roy T. Fielding in his doctoral dissertation at the University of California, Irvine, in 2000. Since then it has been gaining popularity and is being used in many different areas.

1.3 What is REST?

Representational State Transfer (REST) is an architectural principle rather than a standard or a protocol. The basic tenets of REST are: simplify your operations, name every resource using nouns, and utilize the HTTP commands GET, PUT, POST, and DELETE according to how their use is outlined in the original HTTP RFC (RFC 2616). REST is stateless; it does not specify the implementation details, and the interconnection of resources is done via URIs. REST can also utilize the HTTP HEAD command primarily for checking the existence of a resource or obtaining its metadata.

1.4 Usage of JSON

JSON is the preferred message body format for REST APIs as adopted both by IFSF and Conexus.

JSON represents data objects between applications; importantly, it has a schema language (JSON Schema) that can be used to define standard formats. Some other “heavier-weight” XML tools (such as XPATH, Transformations, etc.) are either not available or are under development at the time of this document publication.

Within this document are described a set of rules (and guidelines) that are to be taken into consideration when defining the data sets serialized using JSON.

2 Design Objectives

Design objectives of the Open Retailing Data Type Library include:

- Maximizing component reuse;
- Providing consistent naming conventions for properties of a common nature (e.g., date and time, currency, country, units of measure, counts, volumes, amounts); and
- Allow for easily changing existing XML standard formats into JSON to preserve previous standardization work.

2.1 Overall JSON Design

The use of JSON Schemas as a design language takes advantage of tools such as JSON Schema generators, automatic JSON syntax validation, and conversion to multiple computer languages’ data structures using automated code generators, etc. Because JSON schema drafts continue to evolve, it may be helpful to use Altova XML Spy JSON schema features as a benchmark.

2.2 Commercial Messages

All commercial messages in JSON documents SHALL be removed. For example, remove any messages similar to:

```
"Edited by <owner> with <JSchema editor> V2.0".
```

3 Versioning

Common libraries, including business-specific libraries and IFSF/Conexxus common libraries, SHALL NOT be mandated to hold the same version number.

In the next section, we resolve the following issues with versioning of data types:

- What constitutes a major and a minor version?
- What do we mean by compatibility?
- Do we need to provide for backward/forward compatibility between versions?

Note: This document, and specifically section 3.3 Version Numbering, supersedes IFSF Administration Bulletin (AB#04) which is no longer compatible with current best practices.

3.1 Backward Compatibility

Definition: A given data type is backwardly compatible with a prior data type if no document valid under the prior data type definition is invalid under the later data type definition.

Rule 1. Backward Compatibility for Revisions

Open Retailing data type definition SHALL support backward compatibility.

3.2 Forward Compatibility

Definition: The ability to design a data type such that even the older data type definition can validate the instance documents created according to the newer version is called forward compatibility.

Rule 2. Forward Compatibility for Revisions Only

Open Retailing data type definitions SHALL support forward compatibility for specification revisions.

3.3 Version Numbering

Open Retailing standards SHALL adhere to the standard semantic versioning practice. A version number is divided into three parts: Major number, minor number, and

revision (or patch). These numbers are separated by a dot (‘.’) character. The following rules apply:

- Major number – must increment on any breaking change, i.e., any change that would cause an existing client of the API to malfunction.
- Minor number – must be incremented if the interface is extended in such a way that existing clients continue to function normally, but new functionality becomes available through the interface.
- Revision (in semantic versioning call a patch) – must be incremented to indicate other kinds of changes, such as documentation or minor extensions or clarifications (bug fixes).

Rule 3. Revisions are backwardly and forwardly compatible

All revisions of a data type definition within a major and minor version **MUST** be backwardly and forwardly compatible with the all revisions within the same minor version.

Rule 4. Minor versions are backwardly compatible

All minor versions of a data type within a major version **MUST** be backwardly compatible with the preceding minor versions for same major version, and with the major version itself.

Rule 5. All data types within a business process have same version

To ease the ongoing maintenance of data type versioning, all data types within a Business Process (e.g. the REMC specification) **MUST** have the same version.

This means that if one data type within a suite of JSON Schema data types that come under a particular business process needs to be upgraded to the next version number, all the data type definitions within that business process **MUST** be upgraded to that version number.

3.3.1 Examples of Changes that can be incorporated in a Revision

- Adding Comments and Errata
- Adding Extensions to Extensible objects.

- Adding or removing elements from a soft enum.

3.3.2 Examples of Changes that can be incorporated in a Minor Version

- Adding new optional properties.
- Changing properties from required to optional.
- Adding values to a hard enum.
- Removing the enum facet, converting an enum to a non-enum.
- Removing constraints from a data type.
 - Example 1: removing the `maxValue` facet of a numeric type.
 - Example 2: incrementing or removing the `maxItems` facet of an array

3.3.3 Examples of Changes that Dictate a Major Version (new Release)

- Changing a property from optional to required.
- Adding a required property.
- Eliminating an optional property.
- Eliminating a required property.
- Changing a property name.
- Converting a type from non-array to an array (change of cardinality)
- Converting an array type to a non-array (change of cardinality)
- Changing a soft enum to a hard enum.
- Removing values from a hard enum

3.3.4 Reflecting the Version Numbers for Data Types

Rule 6. Versions will be represented using numeric digits
--

- Major, minor and revision numbers will be represented using numeric characters only. The complete representation of the version will be of the format `Majorversion.Minorversion.Revision` (e.g., 1.5.1) where:
 - The first release of a major version will be numbered *M.0* (e.g., 2.0).
 - The first minor version of a given major version will be numbered *M.1*
In addition, the first release of a minor version will be numbered *M.m*, instead of *M.m.0*. (e.g., 2.1)
 - The first revision of a minor version will be numbered *M.m.1*. (e.g., 2.1.1)

Rule 7. Full version number reflected in library folders

The complete version number is indicated in the file directory used to group project files by business requirement.

Library file path examples:

```
common-v1.3.4/unitsOfMeasure.json  
common-v1.3.4/countries.json  
wsm-v1.0.0/tankStockReport.json
```

The chosen approach to indicating the complete version number is to simply change the version number contained in the folder name referred by the uses clause at the beginning of the relevant JSON file. There are many advantages to this approach. It's easy to update since it a part of the header of the documents, and the developers will have control of the library version in use. If versions were reflected in the name of the data type, instance documents would not validate unless they were changed to designate the new target libraries wherever used.

4 The Common Library

The common library consists of JSON Schema libraries that might be used in two or more Business Documents. Placing shared components in a common library increases interoperability and simplifies data type maintenance. However, it can also result in some additional complexities, which are addressed here.

4.1 Designing the Common Library

Specifically, these areas need to be addressed:

1. Structuring the library documents: breaking down the data type definition documents into smaller units to avoid the inclusion of document structures not required for a given specification.
2. Versioning: creating one or more separate object sets data types, which will address the lack of a separate life cycle.
3. Configuration management: determining a mechanism for storing, managing and distributing the libraries.
4. Structuring the library documents involves deciding how large each library document should be, and which components should be included together in a single document.
5. The approach chosen for Open Retailing documents is to include type definitions for those types (property contents) that are shared across multiple Open

Retailing specifications in shared libraries, commonly called "dictionaries". Code list enumerations and other shared data may also be defined in separate shared documents.

Rule 8. Properties and Objects shared by two or more specifications MUST be defined in a shared common data type library

Rule 9. Properties and Objects shared by two or more components within a specification MUST be defined in a shared data type library

4.2 Guidelines for Structuring Libraries

Some components are more likely to change than others. Specifically, code list types tend to change frequently and depend on context. For this reason, code list types SHOULD be separated from complex types that validate the structure of the document.

4.3 Versioning of the Common Library

Rule 10. Common Library Version Changes Require Version Changes to Business Documents

The individual files that constitute the common library can have minor versions, with backward compatible changes. However, when the common library has a major version change, all business documents that use the library MUST be upgraded.

4.4 Code List Management

Third-party code lists used within the Open Retailing data types SHOULD be defined as soft enum types in individual library files and assigned to a data type other than the Open Retailing original type. Additional codes will be added in a revision by updating the enumerate list in the datatype.

Rule 11. Third Party Code List Enumerations MUST be implemented as soft enums

4.5 Hierarchy of Data Type Common Library Documents

All common data type libraries are stored under the `libraries/common-vM.m.r` folder.

All other libraries are stored under the corresponding `libraries/group-vM.m.r` folder, where *group* is the name of the functional purpose of the group of libraries, for example *wsm* for wet stock management. An often-used alternative name for a *group* is *collection*.

Rule 12. Recommendation – Keep all schemas for a specification in the same folder (i.e., relative path).

4.6 File Naming Convention

Open Retailing data type libraries are given a name reflecting the business nomenclature of the types contained in the library.

Rule 13. Data Type Document Named According to Functional Purpose

For example, a Purchase Order data type library will be named `"B2BPurchaseOrder.json"`.

5 Data Type Implementation Rules

Open Retailing data types are created using a specific set of rules to ensure uniformity of definition and usage.

5.1 Documentation

5.1.1 Annotation Requirements

- Every enumeration SHOULD have an annotation.
- Every simple or complex type defined in the Open Retailing data definition documents SHOULD have an annotation.
- Every property and type definition, including root type definitions, defined in the Open Retailing data definition documents SHOULD have an annotation.
- All data definition annotations MUST be in English language.

JSON Schema has limited annotations support. In the case of object description, JSON Schema supports the `description` property that can be used to document the usage of the object defined. The other two default metadata properties, `title` and `default`, can also be used as they are implemented by most JSON schema processors.

Example schema definition

```
{
  "title": "User",
  "description": "Describe what a User is",
  "default": null,
  "properties": {
    "name": {
      "title": "This is the User Name",
      "type": "string",
      "maxLength": 100
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": true,
  "type": "object",
  "required": [ "name" ],
  "$schema": "http://js-schema.org/draft-04/schema#"
}
```

5.1.2 Naming Conventions

Property, Object and Type names in API definitions MUST use U.S. English (e.g., "standardise" should read "standardize" and "behaviour" should read "behavior").

5.2 Document Encoding

All JSON interfaces SHOULD employ UTF-8 encoding. If UTF-8 encoding is not used, interoperability between trading partners may be compromised and must be independently evaluated by the trading partners involved.

5.3 Property Names

5.3.1 Property and Type Names Use Lower Camel Case

For property names, the lower Camel Case ("LCC") convention MUST be used. The first word in a property name will begin with a lower-case letter with subsequent words beginning with a capital letter without using hyphens or underscores between words.

In JSON files LCC convention SHOULD be applied to the Dictionary Entry Name and any white space should be removed.

Usage of the suffix “Type” in the type name SHOULD be used when the type has a name that is accessed via reference (“\$ref”).

Usage of suffix “Enum” in the type name to denote a **soft** enumerated data type is recommended when the enumeration has a name that is accessed via reference (“\$ref”). See Section 5.7.7 Hard and Soft Enumerations for further details.

5.3.2 Enumeration Rules

Rule 14. For enumeration values the Lower Camel Case (‘LCC’) convention SHOULD be used. Exceptions may occur (for instance) when enumerations are imported from existing sources.

Rule 15. Enumerations imported from other dictionaries (i.e. states) MAY be used without modification.

5.3.3 Acronyms

Rule 16. Acronyms are defined in the Open Retailing data dictionary. Acronyms SHOULD be written using uppercase. Word abbreviations SHOULD be avoided.

When this rule conflicts with another rule that specifically calls for LCC, that rule requiring LCC SHALL override.

5.4 Reusing data types

Reusing data types is done through the common library, as described previously, or through inheritance.

5.5 Referencing Data Types from Other Data Type Documents

Rule 17. References to Common Library data Type documents MUST use a relative path to the corresponding library.

Using relative paths allows the easy reuse of common libraries in other projects.

5.6 Property order

In JSON, by definition:

*An object is an **unordered** collection of zero or more name/value pairs, where a name is a string and a value is a string, number, Boolean, Date, null, object, or array.*

*An array is an **ordered** sequence of zero or more values.*

Therefore, property order is not guaranteed. JSON schema does not include provision for sequence enforcing. Arrays of objects will maintain order. Items that require ordering (such as authentication of a message) should either be represented in an array, or the required order must be defined in the documentation.

5.7 Data Types

As a rule of thumb types SHOULD be used to convey business information entities, i.e. terms that have a distinct meaning when used in a specific business context. Type names and descriptions SHOULD be chosen to accurately reflect the information provided. For example, a "total" may need to include the word "gross" or "net" in the name to accurately identify the total. Clarification on the meaning or the rationale behind the choice of name could be provided in the annotation.

5.7.1 Use of “Nulls”

API design includes appropriate response codes when objects are unavailable.

Rule 18. Null values may be used if appropriate
--

There are two cases in which nulls may be useful:

- When the sending system cannot provide a value for a required property, the use of null for that property may be appropriate, as determined by the schema designers; or
- When the sending system must indicate that the value of an optional property has changed from a non-null value to null, the use of null is appropriate.

In the following example, the type of an object has two required properties, `name` and `comment`, both defaulting to type string. In the provided example, `name` is assigned a string value, but `comment` is allowed to be null by using the multiple type (array) feature of JSON Schema.

Example Schema Definition

```
{
  "properties": {
    "name": {
      "type": "string",
      "maxLength": 20
    },
    "comment": {
      "type": ["string", "null"],
      "maxLength": 100
    }
  },
  "required": [ "name", "comment" ],
  "$schema": "http://Json-schema.org/draft-04/schema#"
}
```

Example: Providing a value or a null value here is required

```
{
  "name": "fred",
  "comment": null
}
```

Declaring the type of a property to be `null` represents the lack of a value in a type instance.

5.7.2 Boolean values

Rule 19. Boolean values SHOULD be represented as enum data types.
--

Boolean properties SHOULD use the data type `<enum>`.

Usage of enumeration codes instead of the native `Boolean` type is recommended, as the use of `Boolean` could impact backwards compatibility if it is necessary to change from a true boolean to an enumeration. For example, an authorization response might initially have responses of `yes` or `no`. But subsequently, it might be necessary to add `yesButCheckSignature` or `noButLocalOverridePossible`.

Example Schema Definition

```
{
  "isMarried": {
    "enum": [ "yes", "no" ]
  }
}
```

5.7.3 Numeric values

Rule 20. Numeric values SHOULD be defined as positive.

The use of JSON property `minimum: 0` for data type `number` is encouraged but not required. The type name itself should imply the type of value contained so that a positive value makes sense. As an example, a bank amount type should be defined as either "Credit" or "Debit" so that the intended type is explicit.

Example Schema Definition

```
{
  "credit": {
    "type": "number",
    "minimum": 0,
    "maximum": 1000
  }
}
```

Rule 21. Open Retailing data types SHALL NOT use unbounded numeric data types without proper constraints

Either the minimum and maximum values or the maximum number of digits for properties containing numeric data types should be specified. Shrinking the boundary conditions for properties may only be done in a major version. Enlarging the boundary conditions for properties may be done in minor or major versions.

Example Schema Definition

```
{
  "weight": {
    "type": "number",
    "minimum": 4,
    "maximum": 100,
    "multipleOf": "4",
  }
}
```

5.7.4 String values

Rule 22. Open Retailing data types SHALL NOT use properties of type string without an accompanying constraint on the overall length of the string.

Shrinking the boundary conditions for a property may only be done in a major version. Enlarging the boundary conditions for a property may be done in minor or major versions.

Example Schema Definition

```
{
  "tankLabel": {
    "type": "string"
    "minLength": 1,
    "maxLength": 16
  }
}
```

Note: Data type `string` also supports a pattern constraint through a regular expression.

Note: for specifications migrating from XML to JSON representations, if property content is derived from a string and no maximum length is defined, the new JSON Schema should use `maxLength` of 1024.

5.7.5 Arrays

Rule 23. Open Retailing data types SHOULD NOT use arrays without an accompanying constraint on the overall quantity of items.

Shrinking the array boundary conditions may only be done in a major version. Enlarging the boundary conditions may be done in minor or major versions.

5.7.6 Date time values

Rule 24. Open Retailing MUST use RFC3339 compliant date and time formats.

Rule 25. Time Offset must be included whenever possible.

The inclusion of the time offset for Time and Date-Time values provide for easier integration when devices and servers operate in different time zones.

Example Schema Definition (date and time with time zone)

```
{
  "startPeriodDateTime": {
    "type": "string",
    "format": "date-time"
  }
}
```

Values:

```
1996-12-19T16:39:57-08:00
1996-12-19T16:39:57
1996-12-19
```

Example Schema Definition (date and time without time zone)

```
{
  "dateAndTime": {
    "type": "string",
    "pattern": "^(\\d{4})-(\\d{2})-(\\d{2})T(\\d{2}):(\\d{2}):(\\d{2})$"
  }
}
```

Value:

1996-12-19T16:39:57

Example Schema Definition (date only)

```
{
  "dateOnly": {
    "type": "string",
    "pattern": "^(\\d{4})-(\\d{2})-(\\d{2})$"
  }
}
```

Value:

1996-12-19

Example time only:

```
{
  "timeOnly": {
    "type": "string",
    "pattern": "^(\\d{2}):(\\d{2}):(\\d{2})$"
  }
}
```

Value:

16:39:57

Note: The above regular expressions regulate the format of the text within the field, but it is not sufficient to ensure a proper date is included. Additional logic must be included when implementing APIs to ensure valid date values.

5.7.7 Hard and Soft Enumerations

Here follows a short explanation of the definition and meaning of hard and soft enumerations. A soft enumeration is when the processing of that new element is no different to any other element. Clear example of this are color and currency. Processing

doesn't change whether it is red or yellow, GBP or USD. A hard enumeration is when the value of the element causes a change in *application* processing. An example of this is payment method, which might take enumerated values of CREDIT and DEBIT. Clearly CREDIT and DEBIT have different processing needs. If an additional new element is added, new code is necessary to process it.

Rule 26. When all elements of an enumeration have the same treatment, soft enums MUST be used.

- A **hard enum** only accepts values that are in the enum list, because special treatment is required for one or more values.
- A **soft enum** is a type that allows values that are not listed in the enum.

Example Schema Definition (currency soft enum)

```
{
  "currencyCodeSoftEnum": {
    "type": "string",
    "anyOf": [
      { "type": "string" },
      { "type": "currencyCodeEnum" }
    ],
    "currencyCodeEnum": {
      "type": "string",
      "enum": ["USD", "BGP", "EUR"]
    }
  }
}
```

Example Schema Definition (card type hard enum)

```
{
  "cardTypeHardEnum": {
    "enum": [ "CREDIT", "DEBIT" ]
  }
}
```


Example Schema Definitions (using enum properties)

```
{
  "payment": {
    "properties": {
      "cardType": {
        "type": "cardTypeHardEnum"
      },
      "currencyCode": {
        "type": "currencyCodeSoftEnum"
      },
      "amount": {
        "type": "number",
        "minimum": 0,
        "maximum": 1000000000
      }
    }
  }
}

{
  "cardType": "credit",
  "currencyCode": "USD",
  "amount": "1"
}
```

Note: this rule does not imply that properties defined for these enum types must contain the words `hard` or `soft`.

5.7.7.1 Updating Hard Enumerations

Rule 27. Hard enumerations values MAY be added in a minor version

Since the addition of a new enumerated value to an existing enumeration is backward compatible with documents valid under the previous version of the code list, the addition of new code list values MAY be included in a minor version of a given IFSF schema.

Rule 28. Hard enumerations values MAY only be removed in a major version

The removal of an enumerated value from an enumeration breaks backward compatibility and MUST therefore occur in major versions only.

Rule 29. Hard enumerations values MAY be deprecated in a version revision

"Deprecated" means will be removed at future major release. Until a future release the enum element MUST not be used in new implementations and during maintenance of existing applications checked that it is no longer used.

5.7.7.2 Updating Soft Enumerations

Rule 30. Soft enumerations values MAY be added or removed in a version revision

Using soft enums allows the enumeration values to be updated in a revision without compromising compatibility. E.g. When a country has been recognized/unrecognized by United Nations, its country code can be supported/removed with a revision.

6 Rules Summary

- Rule 1. Backward Compatibility for Revisions
- Rule 2. Forward Compatibility for Revisions Only
- Rule 3. Revisions are backwardly and forwardly compatible
- Rule 4. Minor versions are backwardly compatible
- Rule 5. All data types within a business process have same version
- Rule 6. Versions will be represented using numeric digits
- Rule 7. Full version number reflected in library folders
- Rule 8. Properties and Objects shared by two or more specifications MUST be defined in a shared common data type library
- Rule 9. Properties and Objects shared by two or more components within a specification MUST be defined in a shared data type library
- Rule 10. Common Library Version Changes Require Version Changes to Business Documents
- Rule 11. Third Party Code List Enumerations MUST be implemented as soft enums
- Rule 12. Recommendation – Keep all schemas for a specification in the same folder (i.e., relative path).
- Rule 13. Data Type Document Named According to Functional Purpose
- Rule 14. For enumeration values the Lower Camel Case ('LCC') convention SHOULD be used. Exceptions may occur (for instance) when enumerations are imported from existing sources.
- Rule 15. Enumerations imported from other dictionaries (i.e. states) MAY be used without modification.
- Rule 16. Acronyms are defined in the Open Retailing data dictionary. Acronyms SHOULD be written using uppercase. Word abbreviations SHOULD be avoided.
- Rule 17. References to Common Library data Type documents MUST use a relative path to the corresponding library.
- Rule 18. Null values may be used if appropriate
- Rule 19. Boolean values SHOULD be represented as enum data types.
- Rule 20. Numeric values SHOULD be defined as positive.
- Rule 21. Open Retailing data types SHALL NOT use unbounded numeric data types without proper constraints
- Rule 22. Open Retailing data types SHALL NOT use properties of type string without an accompanying constraint on the overall length of the string.
- Rule 23. Open Retailing data types SHOULD NOT use arrays without an accompanying constraint on the overall quantity of items.
- Rule 24. Open Retailing MUST use RFC3339 compliant date and time formats.
- Rule 25. Time Offset must be included whenever possible.
- Rule 26. When all elements of an enumeration have the same treatment, soft enums MUST be used.
- Rule 27. Hard enumerations values MAY be added in a minor version
- Rule 28. Hard enumerations values MAY only be removed in a major version
- Rule 29. Hard enumerations values MAY be deprecated in a version revision
- Rule 30. Soft enumerations values MAY be added or removed in a version revision

A. References

A.1 Normative References

Conexus Design Rules for XML: <https://www.conexus.org>

IETF RFC 2616 Hypertext Transfer Protocol, HTTP/1.1:
<https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt>

IETF RFC 3339 Date and Time on the Internet:
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3339>

IFSF Administration Bulletin Nbr 4: Specification Version Identification (JSON rules superseded by this document), available at <http://www.ifsf.org>

Semantic Versioning 2.0.0: <https://semver.org>

OASIS JSON Format Version 4.0 - Error Response: http://docs.oasis-open.org/odata/odata-json-format/v4.0/errata02/os/odata-json-format-v4.0-errata02-os-complete.html#_Toc403940655

A.2 Non-Normative References

- JSON Resources
 - <http://www.json.org/>
 - <http://www.json-schema.org/>
 - <http://www.jsonapi.org/>
- Google JSON Style Guide
<https://google.github.io/styleguide/jsoncstyleguide.xml>
- Design Beautiful REST + JSON APIs
 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hdSrT4yJS1g>
 - <http://www.slideshare.net/stormpath/rest-jsonapis>
- JSend project that lays down rules on how JSON responses from web servers should be formatted
<https://labs.omniti.com/labs/jsend>

B. Glossary

Term	Definition
API	Application Programming Interface. An API is a set of routines, protocols, and tools for building software applications
EB	IFSF Engineering Bulletin
Open Retailing	Open Retailing means both Service (Gas) Station and Convenience Store.
IFSF	International Forecourt Standards Forum
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation; is an open standard format that uses human-readable text to transmit data objects consisting of properties (name-value pairs), objects (sets of properties, other objects, and arrays), and arrays (ordered collections of data, or objects. JSON is in a format which is both human-readable and machine-readable.
REST	REpresentational State Transfer) is an architectural style, and an approach to communications that is often used in the development of Web Services.
XML	Extensible Markup Language is a markup language that defines a set of rules for encoding documents in a format which is both human-readable and machine-readable